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AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Declaration of Quorum
3. Public Comment
4. Introductions: Bob McCool Ariel Consulting (IT consultant)
5. Review and consider adoption of City of Boulder Equity Plan (Aimee Kane, COB Equity Manager)
6. Approval of Minutes of May 16, May 23 and May 30, 2023
7. Library District Director Updates (David Farnan)
8. Subcommittee Updates
9. Trustee Matters
10. Legal Counsel Status Report (Legal Counsel)
11. Adjournment
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Respect is one of five of the city of Boulder’s organizational values. It states:

“We champion diversity and welcome individual perspectives, backgrounds and opinions. We are open-minded and treat all individuals with respect and dignity.”

While the city created a diversity policy over two decades ago, an inclusivity assessment conducted in 2017 demonstrated the organization’s impact has been limited. Input from community members of color who have bravely shared their perspectives and lived experiences have made it clear we have significant work to do.

As partner members of the Government Alliance on Race and Equity and through shared learning with communities across the nation, employees and leadership at the City of Boulder have been working to understand the role institutional racism has played in perpetuating current racial inequities and develop a vision to advance racial equity through education, programs, policies and budget decisions.

**Purpose of the plan**

Since 2018, the city has been building organizational capacity and partnering with institutions and communities to adapt internal infrastructure and communication through creation of a Racial Equity Plan. Much of the plan focuses on steps the city must take to eliminate systemic and institutional racism in its policies and practices.

There are also several components that will involve partnerships with community groups, organizations and individuals committed to ending racial inequity in Boulder. The plan was informed by significant and valuable community input, and the city drew from other sources such as:

- Findings of the 2017 Community Perceptions Assessment
- From the courageous personal stories shared more recently by community members of color in sessions hosted by the Human Relations Commission and City Council
- Frequent conversations with organizations that work with historically oppressed communities
- Participation in the yearly Diversity Summit at the University of Colorado Boulder
- Additional feedback was provided through various community feedback sessions as designed by the city’s Racial Equity Engagement Working Group and included in Community Feedback Summary attachment
Race is often the greatest predictor of access to success in our current system.

The creation and perpetuation of racial inequities is embedded into government at all levels. Initially focusing on racial equity provides the opportunity to introduce a framework, tools and resources that can also be applied to other marginalized groups based on gender, sexual orientation, ability, class, and age, among others.

*If one of us suffers, we all suffer.*
Modern day Boulder has struggled to face its history. With this document, we put forth our commitment to change with actions and measurable outcomes, because, to paraphrase James Baldwin, **nothing can be changed until it is faced**.

The following Racial Equity Plan is a result of a collaborative effort among several partners dedicated to advancing racial equity within the City of Boulder government to transform Boulder into a more inclusive, safe, and welcoming environment for all who live, work, learn or recreate in our community.

The plan was guided by City of Boulder employees who were members of the city’s first Racial Equity Core team, a group of over 60 city staff committed to learning from our partners at the Government Alliance on Race and Equity (GARE), a nationally known non-profit segment of Race Forward. These employees, from all areas of the organization, representing all levels of leadership, have been collectively working in community for over two years to bring before all of us this plan of strategies and actions that, with commitment to measurable outcomes, have the capacity to change our community and hold us to our values.

We know the work ahead will not be easy. The work of dismantling institutional racism will require that some of us give up some comfort and power. Nevertheless, without doing so, we cannot live up to our stated values.

Sincerely,

*The City of Boulder’s Racial Equity Guiding Coalition*
Advancing existing equity goals

The City of Boulder is guided by the City Charter. The Racial Equity Plan advances and aligns with the Charter as well as established community-wide goals and plans, including the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan, the Sustainability and Resilience Framework and other citywide guidance. The city's plans, goals and policies are also informed by federal, state, and county guidance, including that of the Government Alliance on Race and Equity (GARE). The following graphic shows the relationship of the current plan and policy documents that guide the management of the City of Boulder in relation to racial equity.

Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan

The Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan (BVCP) is developed and jointly adopted by the City of Boulder and Boulder County to guide land use decisions in the Boulder Valley. Intended to integrate a range of community service areas, the BVCP provides high-level policies and goals that stem from long-standing community values. These represent our commitment to:

— A welcoming, inclusive and diverse community
— Physical health, safety and well-being
— An all-mode transportation system to make getting around easy and accessible to everyone
— A diversity of housing types of price ranges
— Great neighborhoods and public spaces
— Sustainability and more

Adopted in 1977, the City of Boulder and Boulder County update the plan periodically. The BVCP supports the community's vision for balancing development and preservation of the Boulder Valley. The BVCP reflects the city's sustainability and resilience framework, described below. In turn, master plans and the city's annual capital and operation budget outline the strategies designed to achieve BVCP's goals.
**Sustainability and Resilience Framework**

The City of Boulder is continuously working to fulfill its vision. The Sustainability and Resilience Framework helps provide a common language for all city departments, the local community and the Boulder City Council about what makes a great community. The Sustainability and Resilience Framework aligns city government with a wide range of community priorities to evaluate whether expectations are being met and to adjust, if necessary.

To realize the city's vision of "service excellence for an inspired future," the Sustainability and Resilience Framework establishes seven broad outcome categories which also align with the Racial Equity Plan. The annual budget, as well as strategic plans and master plans, develop strategies to achieve those outcomes. Elements of the Sustainability and Resilience Framework are:

- Safe Community
- Healthy and Socially Thriving Community
- Livable Community
- Accessible and Connected Community
- Environmentally Sustainable Community
- Economically Vital Community
- Good Governance

**City vision and values**

**City of Boulder Vision**
Service excellence for an inspired future

**City of Boulder Values**
- Customer Service
- Respect
- Integrity
- Collaboration
- Innovation

All city departments and staff follow city manager guidance in the form of following a shared vision and set of values.

Building on this foundation, the Racial Equity Plan provides citywide goals and strategies that align with existing guidance, as well as guidance to thoroughly inventory and review existing policies.

This will be done using the racial equity instrument to identify and begin to remove bias and any unintended resulting inequities. The racial equity instrument will also be used to develop new departmental and citywide policies to advance racial equity and change citywide habits, processes and decision-making.

For a more in-depth description of the city’s vision and values, please refer to the city culture webpage.

**Human Rights and Human Services**

Many other city policies and programs provide context for, and are in alignment with, the Racial Equity Plan. For example, the city's Human Rights Ordinance, overseen by the city's Office of Human Rights and the Human Relations Commission, affords protection against discrimination in housing, employment and public accommodation.

The city's inclusionary housing goals and funding programs, including but not limited to those outlined in the regional HOME Consortium Consolidated Plan; direct services to low-income and Latino families and older adults; Community Mediation program and investment programs like the Health Equity Fund and Human Services Fund are designed to address socio-economic inequities that often disproportionately impact people of color.
The Journey Here

Racial History of the City of Boulder

Before endeavoring to advance racial equity, it is important to understand some of the City of Boulder’s racial past.

For years, generations of Indigenous Peoples lived in and traversed the Boulder Valley – enriching countless oral and tribal traditions that shaped a special connection to the land. However, miners during the beginning of the Gold Rush and a steady influx of white occupiers violated treaties and forcibly removed tribes from the Boulder area, severing their connection with the land. For thousands of Indigenous Peoples who live in reservations outside of Colorado – and for those who live in Boulder today – traditions, stories and languages passed down over the generations still connect them with Boulder lands.

In 1858, the first non-native community in Boulder County was established in the area now known as Settler’s Park, in violation of the 1851 Treaty of Fort Laramie. Many of the Indigenous inhabitants were killed or forced to relocate as a result of these white occupiers. In addition, white residents of Boulder played a key role in the Sand Creek Massacre, which set off a long series of conflicts leading to the Battle of Little Bighorn and concluding with the 1890 Wounded Knee Massacre.

In later decades, the local and state governments continued deliberate discrimination against Indigenous Peoples and immigrant people of color, including Asian railroad workers, African Americans and Mexicans. In the 1920s, the Ku Klux Klan (KKK) developed a strong presence in the state, and many members held various positions in elected office and in government administration. The rise of the Klan in Boulder and Colorado paralleled the nationwide ascendancy of the Second Ku Klux Klan. This began in the mid-1910s, as the belief that the cause of the former Confederacy was justified — known as the “Lost Cause” mythos — gained traction.

The KKK eventually became a powerful organization in Boulder County and at the state level, and many of the attacks in Boulder County were targeted at Latino individuals. Their exclusion from the community was exemplified in signs saying “No Mexicans Allowed” posted in front of shops and restaurants across Colorado. In 1922, the Klan organized four parades through the town of Boulder. One included nearly 300 Klansmen, 63 cars and a float covered in white.


2 Two key Boulder leaders of the Sand Creek Massacre were David Nichols and John Chivington. In 1863, Nichols was elected Boulder Sheriff, but left that post the following year to join the Third Colorado Volunteer Cavalry as a captain. Governor John Evans tasked this unit with the suppression of indigenous peoples. As a military officer, Nichols participated in the Sand Creek Massacre on November 29, 1864. After, Nichols left the sheriff’s office having been elected to the Territorial Legislature. In this capacity, he played a key role in the formation of the University of Colorado at Boulder. Retrieved from: https://www.cps.org/show-segment/when-to-stop-honoring-a-questionablehistorical-figure-cus-hard-that-debate/, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_H._Nichols


Due to a labor shortage, the immigrant work force was somewhat welcomed for a short time throughout the early to mid-twentieth century. However, after demand subsided and laborers were less needed, people were sent away. On May 18, 1932, the Boulder County Commissioners passed a resolution funding the deportation of Mexican families to the United States-Mexico border based on “there being no prospect of them finding employment.”

During the first half of the 20th century, explicit racism gave way to more implicit forms of racism, legally continuing racial and socio-economic segregation. Early zoning maps and regulations were used throughout the U.S. to prevent racial and ethnic minorities from moving into middle- and upper-class neighborhoods. Federal policies such as redlining and discriminatory lending practices were common practice that effectively denied the American dream of homeownership to many people of color.

Local policies are and have been more nuanced, but often still lead to similar disparate impacts as those of explicitly discriminatory policies. A citywide height limit on new construction (ca. 55 feet) to maintain scenic views of the foothills and Flatirons prevented the city from growing upwards. The height limit, the green belt that limited outward expansion of housing, and the fact that a significant portion of the city is zoned exclusively for single-family residential development, all indirectly contribute to the high cost of housing in Boulder.

The high cost of housing in Boulder creates a growing economic divide within the community. Boulder also places a high value on community engagement in the development process, which increases the time and cost to build homes. The imposition of affordable housing impact fees and inclusionary housing requirements that provide permanently affordable housing have the indirect impact of increasing the cost of all residential development. Anecdotally, Boulder’s high design expectations, energy efficiency and climate goals, limited vacant land for development, high proportion of jobs to homes, and numerous other polices all have an indirect impact on housing costs. Combined with the historic federal policies and the lack of opportunity to build generational wealth, high housing costs continue to impact who can afford to live in Boulder.

It is important to recognize the racial disparities in Boulder’s demographics do not rest solely on zoning and housing policies. In 1974, the City of Boulder elected its first and only Black mayor, Penfield Tate II. He was mayor until 1976. Tate advocated for equality for all and took action to protect the LGBTQ+ community and make them feel more welcome.

---

5 Boulder County Commissioners’ Resolution, agreeing to pay train fare for unemployed Mexican families to the border, May 18, 1932. Retrieved from: https://teachbocolatinohistory.colorado.edu
7 Race: The Power of an Illusion Ep. 3 https://www.racepowerofanillusion.org/episodes/three
9 2019 Community Profile. The chart comparing the median sales price of detached and attached homes in Boulder shows a divergence between housing prices and income starting in 2012. A household needs to earn approximately $200,000 a year to afford the median home price in Boulder (assuming 3.5% interest rate, typical homeowner expenses and the homeowner pays no more than 1/3 of their income on housing) https://www-static.bouldercolorado.gov/docs/Middle_Income_Housing_Strategy_October_2016-1-201611221422.pdf
10 Taylor, Carol, Boulder’s LGBT history has many lessons to teach, including that backlash often follows progress (2016). Retrieved from http://getboulder.com/boulders-lgbt-history/
He attempted to introduce a sexual preference amendment to Boulder’s Human Rights Ordinance, but it lost adoption by the general public. Residents then sought to recall Tate and all council members who supported the amendment. While the recall of Tate failed, he did not win in the next election.\(^{11}\) Tate is quoted as saying, “The measure of a great city and a great country is not the size of its greenbelt but how it treats its people.”

A 2015 article in Boulder Weekly “Black in Boulder: Boulder racism through the eyes of people of color” sums up the reality for people of color living in Boulder:

> “I think, generally speaking, people in Boulder pride themselves on being very liberal, very progressive. On top of that, they’re very well off overall. I think that idea of liberalism sometimes blinds to the notion of where people in this community contribute to the perpetuation of white privilege or white supremacy — even if they aren’t of mind or heart, a person who thinks that these other people are less than.”

From 2012 to 2017, civil rights complaints that pertained to unequal treatment in employment, housing charges and public accommodations increased in Boulder. The Community Perception Assessment of Boulder\(^{12}\) and the Human Relations Commission Speak-out event in 2018 revealed stories of Muslim women afraid to wear headscarves, because they were being insulted in the streets; parents driving their children to Longmont for school, because they were being treated differently by teachers and school administration in Boulder; and people being followed by employees in stores because of the color of their skin.

Across the United States, a person’s race remains a key predictor of access, opportunity, safety, and well-being. Boulder is no exception to this. According to the American Community Survey, in 2017 the overall poverty rate in Boulder County was 12.6% for white individuals but jumped to an average of 23.4% for all other races and 23.5% for Latino county residents. Over the years, the City of Boulder has attempted to examine, as individuals and groups, what diversity, equity and inclusion mean to our organization and community. Despite these efforts and good intentions, this work has had limited success in improving racial equity.

As described above, policies and decisions were driven by a variety of motivations. Actions ranged from unquestionably racist to well-meaning and popularly supported but lacking consideration of long-term, unintended consequences. These events and actions were not unique to Boulder and occurred throughout the United States.

---

11 University of Colorado Boulder, Penfield Wallace Tate II (1968), retrieved from [https://www.colorado.edu/law/pen-wallace-tate-ii-68](https://www.colorado.edu/law/pen-wallace-tate-ii-68)

States. However, a more recent rising awareness of racial equity provides us with a contemporary opportunity to scrutinize past decisions through a new lens. We are in a position to consider both socio-economic and racial factors while correcting harms that originated in the past.

To be clear, this plan does not advocate for reversing past policy decisions. Rather, the challenge now is to ensure our policies reflect all community values, including environmental protection, quality of life and racial and socio-economic equity. To do this, we must train a critical eye on past policies and consider their impacts in future decision-making as we actively promote measures to help resolve inequity.

The city intends to understand its relationship with race and is endeavoring to create systems in order to collect data that supports a deeper understanding of its actions and impacts, as well as data to help identify and track outcomes of racial equity work. In December 2019, the City Council of the City of Boulder passed Resolution 1275\(^\text{13}\) “...committing the City of Boulder to promote racial equity in city relationships, programs, services and policies.”

The City of Boulder has historically leveraged its local resources to push policy efforts upwards to effect systemic change. Like climate policy and policies that address root causes of homelessness, both rooted in consequences of past policy decisions, the city's efforts to address Racial Equity should be part of a broader regional, state and national effort. We cannot and should not do this alone. We must work together to accomplish scalable change to rectify a system that, for too long, has continued to cause so much harm.


Find more resources about the racial history of Boulder at the end of this Racial Equity Plan.
The City of Boulder has a history, commitment, and intention of improving the ability to welcome, support and serve people of diverse backgrounds within the community and city organization. The city’s first diversity policy was developed more than twenty years ago, and efforts continue to this day.

**Brief chronology of key, equity-related milestones to date**

**1973**
The city established the Human Relations Commission by ordinance, which had begun its work for the city in the late 1960s. The commission focused on community relations in its formative years, holding public meetings and issuing reports on a range of social issues: race relations, homelessness, services for seniors and youth and poverty, among others.

**1999 — 2004**
The City of Boulder established agreements with 13 federally recognized American Indian Tribal Nations. These agreements recognized that Tribal Nations and the city share several common open space interests, including preserving the land and cultural resources and providing access for ceremonial practices on open space lands.

**2005**
In response to a series of hate-motivated incidents Frank Bruno who was the city manager at the time, established a commitment to developing mechanisms to ensure rapid response to hate incidents as well as ongoing effective engagement with community groups.

**2006**
Establishment of an Inclusiveness and Diversity Team (IDT) to further a City Council initiative ensuring the organization models the ideals of inclusion and diversity.

**2008**
City’s first partnership with CU’s Office of Diversity, Equity and Community Engagement on the CU Diversity Summit.

**2009**
IDT published an ID plan and toolkit as a resource for departments wanting to create their own tailored equity plans.

**2009 — 2016**
Various trainings and other resources were provided to employees by the IDT on topics such as socio-economic diversity, invisible disabilities, and lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) issues.

**2012**
The city embarked on its vision and values initiative and adopted the value of Respect “We champion diversity and welcome individual perspectives, backgrounds and opinions. We are open-minded and treat all individuals with respect and dignity.”

**2015**
Boulder City Council adopted Resolution No. 1178 as drafted by the Human Relations Commission re-affirming Boulder’s status as and commitment to be an inclusive community, its commitment to working with local partners to improve support for and inclusion of diversity, and encouraging other jurisdictions and their elected leaders to do the same.
2016
— The city organization refocused on equity with the first Employee Engagement survey to determine a baseline level of employee understanding, value and support of inclusion and diversity.
— In collaboration with community members and the Human Relations commission, Boulder City Council Adopted Resolution No. 1190 a resolution declaring the second Monday of October of each year to be Indigenous Peoples Day. The resolution acknowledges that:
  — The Boulder area encompasses ancestral homelands of Indigenous Peoples’ Nations.
  — Indigenous People in Boulder have, as in all parts of the Americas, endured centuries of cruelty, exploitation and genocide.
  — Facing and acknowledging our past, good as well as bad, makes our community stronger and more resilient.
  — Boulder has benefited directly from Indian removal policies that violated human rights, broke government treaties and forced [Indigenous Peoples] from their homeland.
  — Those now living on these ancestral lands recognize that harm was done and acknowledge that we have a shared responsibility to forge a path forward to address the past and continuing harm to the Indigenous People and the land.

2017
— City Council added Chapter 12-5 “Undocumented Persons” to the Boulder Revised Code declaring the City of Boulder as a Sanctuary City.
— Community Perceptions Assessment finding a persistent lack of inclusion and safety, particularly by non-majority community members and newcomers.
  — Results from assessment informed the Human Relations Commission’s 2018-2020 work plan, which included: expanding community outreach and education to increase visibility of and dialogue about issues that are barriers to a welcoming and inclusive community; expanding public participation by scheduling events at times and locations convenient to all members of the public; and supporting community awareness and education activities of local organizations.

2018
— In early March 2018, the Boulder City Council passed an ordinance amending the fire code to allow community members to conduct ceremonial fires within the city via permit.
— The City of Boulder entered partnership with the Government Alliance on Race and Equity and shifted its equity efforts from administering surveys and assessments to gauge awareness, strengths, and opportunities; to becoming more strategic, operationalized and focused on equity as a value integrated into organizational culture.

2019
— In August of 2019, through the work of its Human Relations Commission, the City of Boulder passed Ordinance No. 8335 — the Bias-motivated Hate Crimes Ordinance — significantly expanding protections provided. These included enhanced prosecutorial ability and protections for religious expression covering religious practices by Sikhs, Muslims, Orthodox Jews and other minority groups.
— Resolution 1275 was passed in December of 2019 as a resolution committing the City of Boulder to promote racial equity in city relationships, programs, services, and policies.
— The City of Boulder conducted formal government-to-government consultations with federally recognized American Indian Tribal nations. The City of Boulder respects American Indian Tribal sovereignty and self-determination and conducts government-to-government consultations with federally recognized Tribal Nations. These relationships help us in addressing the past and ongoing harm to the Indigenous Peoples and the land. Consultations also help us fulfill the Indigenous People’s Day Resolution and city-tribal agreements. The city has invited the following American Indian Tribal Nations to participate in government-to-government consultations:
  — Apache Tribe of Oklahoma
  — Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma
  — Cheyenne River Sioux
  — Comanche Nation of Oklahoma
  — Eastern Shoshone Tribe
  — Jicarilla Apache Nation
  — Kiowa Tribe of Oklahoma
  — Northern Arapaho Tribe
  — Northern Cheyenne Tribe
  — Oglala Sioux Tribe
  — Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma
  — Rosebud Sioux Tribe
  — Southern Ute Indian Tribe
  — Standing Rock Sioux Tribe
  — Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah & Ouray Reservation
  — Ute Mountain Ute Tribes
Since starting this work in 2018, a core team of city employees from all levels of the organization have been working to normalize racial equity work through training, building leadership support and ensuring racial equity is the city’s highest priority. Featured activities include:

Training

— Advancing Racial Equity: The Role of Government (ARE) is the cornerstone workshop required of all racial equity core team members, supervisors, new employees, council members and is made available for boards, commissions, and all other city employees. The workshop introduces the role, responsibilities, and opportunities for government to advance racial equity. Participants gain an understanding of racial equity terminology, including implicit and explicit bias and individual, institutional, and structural racism. They gain skill at identifying and addressing institutional and structural racism and increase capacity to advance racial equity in the workplace.

— Racial Equity Instrument: Intro and Best Practices is a follow up to ARE and is an opportunity to gain understanding and practice with the six steps of the Racial Equity Instrument. Participants learn how to leverage the instrument within work groups or projects to address impacts, as well as learn practices for assessing the development, implementation, and evaluation of programs, policies, and budgets.

— Bias and Microaggression is currently a requirement for all city employees and is intended to be offered to council, advisory boards, and commissions. This training is an extension of the city's work to dismantle systemic racism and inequities at various levels with a focus on the interpersonal level. This training engages individuals in committing to lifelong training, while learning to have courageous conversations about race, white supremacy culture and equity. This training was designed specifically for the city based on a series of focus group conversations with a local consulting team, Kebaya CIRCLE. Future sessions will be hosted with trained city employee equity ambassadors.

Analysis

— Inventory of existing efforts was conducted to understand equity efforts occurring across the city to share across the organization, align resources, build capacity and inform the Racial Equity Plan.

— Community Perceptions Assessment in 2017 was utilized as a baseline of information for the Racial Equity Plan.

— Historic inequities in Boulder were researched by all racial equity core team members and combined into the racial equity story as the introduction to the Racial Equity Plan.

Urgency and Prioritization

— Guiding Coalition members including: council members Mary Young, Aaron Brockett, Adam Swetlik, Junie Joseph, and Rachel Friend work with other city leadership, including: Interim City Manager Chris Meschuk, Police Chief Maris Herold, Housing and Human Services Director Kurt Firnhaber, Human Resources Director Jennifer Sprinkle, Director of Planning and Services Development Jacob Lindsey and Equity Program Manager Aimee Kane to provide leadership and oversight to the Racial Equity Core Team. Monthly meetings are an opportunity to learn of the core team’s advancement while also providing high-level strategic planning and guidance. This leverages many council members and internal city leadership to champion an equity focus while making decisions.

Creating Tools

— The Racial Equity Instrument, based on GARE’s Racial Equity Toolkit, was developed in addition to accompanying employee training. This six-step model actively inserts racial equity into decision making processes with a particular emphasis in the second step which is an opportunity to create a robust public engagement plan. Additionally, it includes evaluating options and developing recommendations based on that public input. The instrument can be helpful when used at any decision-making phase, but it has the most impact when used at the forefront of planning for a program, project, or budgeting process. As the instrument training is being rolled out several high-priority projects have been identified where the instrument can be piloted and modified to ensure the most effective results.

— The Rapid Response Racial Equity Assessment was developed as part of the city’s COVID-19 Recovery plan. This four-step process was an opportunity to embed a racial equity focus when making fast action decisions during the pandemic in partnership with a group of community Recovery Equity Connectors who can provide input into recovery efforts and ensure those most impacted by the virus are given the necessary guidance and resources to minimize illness and death.

— A Racial Equity Assessment is being established and provides a platform for departments to self-assess the status of equity work in their departments, using both qualitative and quantitative information, with the guidance of the Equity Assessment Team. The information gathered via the Equity Assessment will serve as guidance for the department to begin or continue equity work and will set the foundation for equity-related goal setting specific to their business unit.
Strategy and Planning

— The Racial Equity Plan as guided by Council Resolution 1275 directs the city manager, in partnership with the community to develop a plan for delivering services in a manner that promotes racial equity and directs strategic actions to advance and achieve equity, including: intentional collective leadership; community engagement, development of equity tools and infrastructure to support and sustain systemic changes; and a shared accountability with metrics on progress that can be reported back to the community including training by city council members.

Community, Connection and Communication

— The Communication and Engagement Department hired the city’s first language access program manager, Manuela Sifuentes, in April 2020. This integral role is designed to coordinate language access programs and services across the city organization, further inclusive engagement strategies, and provide bilingual, culturally competent counsel, direction, implementation, and oversight to better support community members whose primary language is Spanish.

— The Community Connectors model was established in the spring of 2018 to better meet community members where they are. Community Connectors strengthen the relationship between community and city government by partnering to serve as a trusted voice within their neighborhoods or circles. Community Connectors are bicultural and bilingual (e.g., English/Spanish, English/Nepali) and receive a stipend for their time and expertise. A few examples of Community Connector programs that specifically work to advance racial equity by focusing on the inclusion of voices of community members of color are included below:

— Recovery Equity Connectors – Seven members who ensure that the perspectives of community members of color and Rapid Response Racial Equity Assessments are included in city decision-making throughout recovery process from June 2020 through the present

— Emergency Response Connectors – 11 Community Connectors (part of a larger team that also includes 23 volunteers) who build trust between community members and local government, sharing COVID-19 updates and public health guidelines, reaching out and navigating for basic needs services, and surfacing the issues and ideas of community members from March 2020 through the present

— City Text Boulder - four Community Connectors who designed outreach for the city’s bilingual texting platform pilot, City Text Boulder, from June 2019 – April 2020

— East Boulder Subcommunity Planning Working Group - Two Community Connectors, one of whom is the only resident of the East Boulder subcommunity on the Working Group, who co-design and co-facilitate outreach and engagement opportunities for the San Lazaro, Vista Village and larger Latino community from May 2019 through the present

— The Communication and Engagement team has developed several opportunities for Spanish-speaking community members to connect with council members. A few examples include:

— Interpretation into Spanish of City Council meetings, including COVID-19 updates and presentations/public hearings on Manufactured Home Sales Ordinance, on September 1, 2020 and December 1, 2020.

— Reflecting the Governor’s Stay-at-Home order, virtual Chats with Council were hosted, either Spanish-first (in partnership with KGNU’s Pasa La Voz) or interpreted into Spanish, on April 26, May 13, and May 18, 2020, for community members to share about issues that matter most to them.

— Beginning in the fall of 2018, the Engagement team partnered with Sacred Heart of Jesus Church to host a series of Spanish-first Conversaciones con la Ciudad, or Chats with Council, where congregants could raise issues or concerns important to them and their communities. This program is currently on hold because of the pandemic.

— A Racial Equity web page has been created with up-to-date information about the city’s racial equity efforts with an option for community members to register for updates and information about the city’s racial equity work.
Racial Equity Engagement Working Group

The purpose of the Racial Equity Engagement Working Group was to co-design meaningful and inclusive engagement and expand the city’s outreach so that community members could weigh in on the draft outline of a City of Boulder Racial Equity Plan.

This Working Group consisted of seven working group members, with a majority being people of color. Group members had clear ties to the city and/or a demonstrated ability to work with partner organizations that serve communities of color. The working group was facilitated by Magnolia Landa-Posas and met together for a total of six sessions from November 2019 through October 2020.

Members of the Racial Equity Engagement Working Group included:
- Ingrid Castro-Campos
- Julie Schoenfeld
- Ken Iha
- Maria Murillo
- Marina La Grave
- Nikhil Mankekar
- Dr. Sheila Davis
Community Feedback on the Racial Equity Plan Outline

Community feedback on the plan was primarily collected through community partner feedback sessions, recommended by the Racial Equity Engagement Working Group and facilitated in-person or via online meetings, as well as through the city’s Be Heard Boulder online engagement platform.

As recommended by the Racial Equity Engagement Working Group, staff primarily focused bandwidth and resources on ensuring that outreach specifically engaged community members of color and their perspectives, thoughts, and lived experience.

Feedback Session Community Partners

— CU Diversity and Inclusion Summit
— Human Relations Commission
— Latina Community Members (Spanish-first session co-designed with Community Connector)
— Leadership Fellows Boulder County (Fellows and Alum)
— NAACP, Boulder County
— Nepali Community Members (co-designed with Community Connector and in partnership with Rocky Mountain Friends of Nepal and Nepal America Sociocultural Exchange Society)
— Recovery Equity Connectors
— Sabrina Siders’ CU Class
— ¡Suma! – part of the Boulder County Cultural Brokers Resilience Program
— Youth Opportunities Advisory Board

Three overarching themes became clear in compiling and analyzing community feedback:

— Resonant content
— Need for further detail
— Plan for accountability

In response to the content of the Racial Equity Plan, the overwhelming reaction of community members was that the goals and strategies listed resonated, reflected the previously heard concerns of the community, and were headed in a positive direction (e.g., “everything here is on the right track”). While sharing the aspects of the plan that resonated most, community members in general also shared “some skepticism about translating good intentions into effective life-changing policy.”

To address this, community members expressed the need for more definition and clarity around the timeline of the Racial Equity Plan. Including further details around each goal, as well as a defined timeline for what progress on the goals will be made by when. Additionally, community members expressed that while a plan on paper is a good start, truly successful implementation of the Racial Equity Plan will depend on ensuring effective accountability and evaluation towards outcomes identified within the plan.

In addition to high level goals and strategies, the plan outlines action items with proposed short-term outcomes through 2022, mid-term outcomes through 2025 and long-term outcomes through 2030. Suggested output data accompany the individual activities.
Planning Timeframe and Tracking Progress

The time horizon for the Racial Equity Plan is three years. Progress will be reported by staff and reviewed annually by City Council with the opportunity for possible amendments to the plan to reflect changes in circumstances and community desires every three years.

The Racial Equity Plan describes goals and strategies for staff, boards, City Council, as well as coordination with the community and partners to advance racial equity. This will be done by ensuring that the City of Boulder’s policies, programs, and practices are free from institutional and systemic racism and support a high quality of life and access to opportunity for community members of all races and ethnicities.

We heard from the community that this Racial Equity Plan is only as good as the accountability mechanisms that demand tangible progress. To honor this feedback, as well as the importance of these goals and strategies, staff will report annually on progress, showing the degree to which staff have advanced strategies and achieved outcomes, as detailed below.

Within the logic model, each outcome includes a measurable output. Many of these outputs are unable to include specific figures, as the city simply does not yet have accurate baseline data. As reflected elsewhere in the logic model, collecting this baseline data is a top priority. Outcomes will also be measured in results from staff racial equity training assessments, as well as the city’s biannual Community Survey, especially in response to prompts (e.g., “I feel included in the Boulder community”) where results will be disaggregated by race and analyzed by trends over time.

Recognizing the need to measure outcomes quantitatively (e.g., numbers, figures) and qualitatively (e.g., experiences, narrative), staff also plan to co-design and co-host a series of focus group listening sessions with community members of color. The goal of these annual sessions will be to hear more about the lived experience of community members of color, as well as the impacts of the city’s work to advance racial equity. Additionally, many outcomes will be further developed through departmental racial equity plans. Supporting departments in identifying specific measurements will lead to outputs that are more measurable, realistic, and accurate.

As staff track and communicate our status, we will also gather input from the community to determine the effectiveness of programs and projects that advance Racial Equity Plan strategies and outcomes. City staff also plan to provide an update to City Council each year. This update will include progress on outcomes (measured against outputs where possible), how racial equity priorities determined budget decisions, challenges faced, and accomplishments of the city’s work in continuing to advance racial equity. Proposed next steps and adjustments to short-term, mid-term and long-term outcomes in response to City Council feedback may also be included. Updates will be publicly available through an information packet written for council, an oral presentation given to council, and a series of digestible mediums for community members, such as videos, brief online or printed overviews, community gatherings, etc.

As we move forward over the next three years, this living plan will continue to reflect the community’s goals well into the future, and City Council will have annual opportunities to review and discuss progress. After three years, the plan will be updated to reflect changes in circumstances, community desires and new priorities.
Goals and Strategies

Goal 1 — Everybody gets it.

The city will normalize and operationalize understanding of institutional and structural racism among people who work for or represent the City of Boulder, including city staff, City Council, Boards and Commissions, and ongoing program volunteers.

Strategy 1.1: Develop equity-focused leadership at all levels

— Leverage the Guiding Coalition to ensure racial equity is embedded in council priorities.
— Develop expectation across work groups to participate on Racial Equity Teams.

Strategy 1.2: Develop workplace-based equity teams

— Organize Racial Equity Teams responsible for leading implementation of the Action Plans within their respective departments.

Strategy 1.3: Provide Racial Equity Training

— Provide introductory Racial Equity Training to all employees.
— In the Racial Equity Training, use a “train-the-trainer” model to continue to build internal expertise. Provide Racial Equity Toolkit training to all managers. Provide training on communications and messaging about racial equity to appropriate staff.
— Make Implicit Bias and Microaggression training mandatory for staff, council, boards, commissions, and volunteers.
— Provide New Employee Orientation (NEO) that grounds new staff members in our organizational culture and what the city is working towards.
— Make the Advancing Racial Equity: The Role of Government training mandatory for all supervisors and available for all staff members.
— Make Using a Racial Equity Instrument training available for all staff members (Advancing Racial Equity: The Role of Government is prerequisite)
— Provide opportunities for staff to engage in meaningful conversations about race.
— Establish clear racial equity expectation language and implement in handbooks, scopes of work, RFPs, and contracts.
Goal 2 — Justly do it.

The city will take action to end racial disparities in city services.

Strategy 2.1: Achieve commitment at the department level
— Departments will create their own Racial Equity Teams and Plans (identify areas of exploration to analyze, the plan to prioritize that work, instrument applied to anything new).

Strategy 2.2: Operationalize the Racial Equity Instrument
— Utilize the Racial Equity Instrument into new city programs and policies.
— Weave the Racial Equity Instrument into the city’s decision-making process.
— Strategically integrate the Racial Equity Instrument into the city’s existing programs, policies and budgets.

Strategy 2.3: Integrate racial equity into master and strategic Plans
— Ensure consideration of racial equity is incorporated into the development of new master and strategic plans.
— Include Racial Equity Instrument in the city’s proposed planning toolkits.

Strategy 2.4: Focus on racial equity in stewarding public funds, racial equity programming and city financial processes
— Utilize the Racial Equity Instrument into budget, purchasing and procurement processes.
— Expand Minority-Owned Business policy beyond federal grants.
— Ensure broader accessibility of city financial processes, including grants, RFPs, taxes, and other processes.

Goal 3 — Community Commitment.

The city will strengthen partnerships and collaborate with community members and organizations that demonstrate a commitment to ending racism.

Strategy 3.1: Partner with community
— Identify organizations and community members interested in partnership and work together to establish ways we can coordinate, collaborate, and complement each other’s work.
— Leverage council members in outreach and engagement within the community.

Strategy 3.2: Build community organizational capacity
— Improve relationships between organizations focusing on advancing racial equity, establish mutually beneficial best practices for communications and areas of collaboration.

Strategy 3.3: Seek opportunities to support and promote the value of diversity and multiculturalism

Strategy 3.4: Recognize history of institutional racism within the City of Boulder
— Model changes in systems and structures that may guide other organizations in implementing similar changes.
— Incorporate history of institutional racism and past lessons learned into staff training and decision-making processes.
— Ensure broader accessibility of city financial processes, including grants, RFPs, taxes, and other processes.
Goal 4 — Power to all people.
The city will build and maintain trust, expanding the influence of community members of color through inclusive and responsive engagement.

Strategy 4.1: Improve access to decision-makers
— Identify and address structural inequities in access to council/decision makers.

Strategy 4.2: Support city-community relationships through staffing
— Build trust and ensure staff has the responsibility, skills, and cultural proficiency to establish lasting relationships.

Strategy 4.3: Focus on high-quality community engagement
— Develop, share, and incorporate best practices and resources to support accessible, inclusive, and welcoming engagement.
— Create collaborative opportunities to plan and implement engagement with people of color.

Strategy 4.4: Value lived experience
— Listen and be responsive to community needs and recognize the value of lived experiences, assets, and strengths within communities of color.

Strategy 4.5: Address language, cultural and engagement access barriers
— Increase strategic use of interpretation and translation services as well as facilitation in languages other than English.
— Further develop and encourage the use of resources and tactics designed to meet community members where they are (e.g., Community Connectors, micro-engagements).

Goal 5 — Representation matters.
The city will eliminate barriers and create opportunities to build a diverse workforce across the depth and breadth of local government including elected officials, boards, commissions and working groups.

Strategy 5.1: Address boards, commissions and working groups
— Collect the demographic data of boards, commissions and working groups.
— Revamp application process, including forms, to support increased inclusivity.
— Ensure outreach and recruitment processes support and encourage racial equity.
— Identify and mitigate barriers in the operations of board, commission and working group meetings (times of day, frequency, locations, days of the week, etc.).

Strategy 5.2: Develop City of Boulder’s workforce

Hiring
— Expand outreach opportunities to attract a more diverse applicant pool.
— Integrate racial demographics into screening matrix.

Recruitment
— Evaluate new and existing position descriptions to reduce barriers to access (e.g., higher education, professional associations).

Retention
— Create support structures for employee racial and ethnic affinity groups.
— Explore and implement compensation for language skills.
Short Term Outcomes

The City of Boulder will use a logic model as one tool to maintain accountability and measure the impact of our efforts. The following are some of the short term outcomes and some of the feedback that helped shape this plan.

**Training would be effective**

**Conduct equity trainings**
Growing city staff and leaders’ understanding and capacity for, and action to promote and achieve racial equity

City leadership and employees at all levels increase awareness, knowledge and shared commitment to anti-racism.

City staff understand and increasingly utilize racial equity assessment tools and instruments for decision making.

City staff collect relevant data, coordinate data systems to understand and track needs and impacts.

Complete inventory of barriers for communities of color to publicly participate. Pilot co-design of new inclusive engagement opportunities.

City Council normalizes the use of resolutions and declarations in alignment with the Racial Equity Plan. Staff and community members increasingly understand the purpose and function of resolutions and declarations.

**How will you collect evidence the tool is being used by ALL departments with fidelity?**

**Create, modify and use equity tools, instruments and assessments**

**Collect and analyze meaningful data**
Powering continuous, increased level and rate of improvement by integrating racial equity data into our decision processes

**Prioritize inclusive community engagement**
Increasing empowerment and efficacy of people of color community members for engagement in city program and process decision making

**Use resolutions and declarations to prioritize, elevate and evaluate racial equity**

...how to be “seen” when for years POC were not seen.

Slow down. Change happens at the speed of trust.

Weave equity into ALL city’s decision processes.

The City of Boulder will use a logic model as one tool to maintain accountability and measure the impact of our efforts. The following are some of the short term outcomes and some of the feedback that helped shape this plan.
Understand and inventory the racial equity work being done by our institutional partners to be aware of, and continue support and expansion of coordinated efforts.

Collaborate with institutional partners

Engage in GARE Network and other professional groups committed to advancing equity

The participation between the government and the agencies and organizations... should increase.

Each city department has in place a Justice, Equity, Diversity and Inclusion (JEDI) Team.

Racial Equity Teams need to be the leaders that guide everybody.

Establish diversification goals. Application of the Racial Equity Instrument to city workforce HR processes.

Seeing more cultural managers in important positions or jobs, that will help our community a lot.

Increase use of Racial Equity Instrument (REI) and Rapid Response Equity Assessment (RREA) to assess and improve key investment programs.

Very important that money is being dedicated towards these initiatives.

Prioritize a diverse and inclusive workforce

Infuse equity in city investments

Very important that money is being dedicated towards these initiatives.
**Long-term Outcomes (2030)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES (2022)</th>
<th>MID-TERM OUTCOMES (2025)</th>
<th>LONG-TERM OUTCOMES (2030)</th>
<th>OUTPUT DATA</th>
<th>HIGHER-LEVEL STATEMENT OR PURPOSE FOR THE ACTIVITY/OUTCOMES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Equity Trainings</td>
<td>City leadership and employees at all levels increase awareness, knowledge, shared commitment to anti-racism.</td>
<td>City staff retain knowledge from trainings; increasingly utilize trainings to change institutional beliefs, processes, decisions.</td>
<td>City staff routinely evaluate, modify, add, &amp; train offerings to reinforce and build racial equity capacity at all levels.</td>
<td>Number of trainings held, feedback from participants &amp; staff levels trained, trends by survey responses, staff exit interviews</td>
<td>Growing city staff and leaders' understanding and capacity for and action to promote and achieve racial equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advancing Racial Equity: The Role of Government</td>
<td>All supervisors (by 32.33:20): 40% total staff: 80% of trained staff report increased understanding of RE. All new staff participate as part of EEO.</td>
<td>All boards &amp; commissions and city staff participate in Advancing Racial Equity: The Role of Government.</td>
<td>Improved knowledge of racial equity concepts among employees and leadership through feedback from employee-engagement surveys.</td>
<td>Number of trainings held, number of participants and staff levels trained, trends by survey responses</td>
<td>Ensuring consistent use of racial equity focus in city resolutions and declarations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial Equity Inequities</td>
<td>Increase in training participation by offering at least 4 trainings with 30 participant capacity. 5 case studies on how instrument was used in decision-making process.</td>
<td>Continue to host trainings for new staff and evaluate usefulness. Racial equity is integrated regularly into routine operations and budgets.</td>
<td>Improved knowledge of racial equity concepts among employees and leadership through feedback from employee-engagement surveys.</td>
<td>Number of trainings held, number of participants and staff levels trained, trends by survey responses, staff exit interviews</td>
<td>Ensuring consistent use of racial equity focus in city resolutions and declarations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias &amp; Microaggression</td>
<td>All city staff participate in Bias and Microaggression Training by end of 2022.</td>
<td>Improved employee engagement and retention in the number of employees color leaving the city.</td>
<td>Improved knowledge of racial equity concepts among employees and leadership through feedback from employee-engagement surveys.</td>
<td>Number of trainings held, number of participants and staff levels trained, trends by survey responses, staff exit interviews</td>
<td>Ensuring consistent use of racial equity focus in city resolutions and declarations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Activities**
  - Create, modify, use equity tools, instruments, assessments
  - Increase in # of board/commission/agency members who identify any equity impacts.
  - Increased use of Racial Equity Instrument.
  - Improved staff understanding and utilization.
  - Increase in staff participation in training.

- **Short-term Outcomes (2022)**
  - City staff understand and increasingly use racial equity assessment tools and instruments for decision making.
  - City staff have access to new racial equity assessment tools in all budget and Master Plan processes. Council, Boards, Committees, Commissions increasingly understand and utilize assessment tools.
  - City staff, City Council, Boards, Committees and Commissions routinely evaluate, modify, improve upon data systems and utilizing data in community engagement processes.
  - City staff, City Council, Boards, Committees and Commissions routinely evaluate, modify, improve upon data systems and utilizing data in community engagement processes.
  - Staff from all city departments complete departmental equity plans.
  - City staff complete departmental equity plans.

- **Mid-term Outcomes (2025)**
  - City staff, City Council, Boards, Committees and Commissions routinely evaluate, modify, improve upon data systems and utilizing data in community engagement processes.
  - Staff from all city departments complete departmental equity plans.
  - City staff complete departmental equity plans.
  - City staff complete departmental equity plans.

- **Long-term Outcomes (2030)**
  - City staff, City Council, Boards, Committees and Commissions routinely evaluate, modify, improve upon data systems and utilizing data in community engagement processes.
  - Staff from all city departments complete departmental equity plans.
  - City staff complete departmental equity plans.
  - City staff complete departmental equity plans.

- **Output Data**
  - Number of trainings held, number of participants and staff levels trained, trends by survey responses.
  - Staff from all city departments complete departmental equity plans.
  - City staff complete departmental equity plans.
  - City staff complete departmental equity plans.

- **Higher-Level Statement or Purpose for the Activity/Outcomes**
  - Ensuring consistent use of racial equity focus in city resolutions and declarations.
### ACTIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long-Term Outcomes (2030)</th>
<th>Mid-Term Outcomes (2025)</th>
<th>Short-Term Outcomes (2022)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate racial equity impact of resolutions and declarations</td>
<td>Staff utilize the racial equity instrument on all resolutions, and ensure that resolutions are consistent with the city’s racial equity goals.</td>
<td>Staff and City Council will begin to develop resolution review and evaluation process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement departmental and citywide equity policies</td>
<td>All city departments routinely evaluate, modify and improve racial equity plans to continue progress and alignment with the citywide Racial Equity Plan outcomes.</td>
<td>JEO Teams complete departmental equity assessment to inform specific department equity plans. Plans are implemented, communicated citywide, and include community engagement as needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Departmental Equity teams</td>
<td>40 departments will have JEO teams which have completed at least one full round of the Assessment Tool. JEO teams will facilitate completion of the Racial Equity Assessment tool every 2 years to evaluate progress and inform the development, modification, updating and continued improvement of departmental plans to continue progress and alignment with the citywide RE Plan outcomes.</td>
<td>JEO Teams have facilitated the completion of the racial equity assessment tool by 50% of individuals and 50% of workgroups within their department by 2025 and identified where departmental equity policies need to be solidified and areas in which there are gaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department policy review, updates and development</td>
<td>Trends by assessment tool data and departmental plans.</td>
<td>Inventory of all departments’ policies will be complete by end of 2022 for all departments with a JEO team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citywide policy review, updates and development</td>
<td># of completed departmental equity plans.</td>
<td>Use racial equity instrument to identify and begin to remove bias and develop new departmental policies to advance racial equity and change citywide habits, processes and decision-making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental RE Plans</td>
<td>Trends by assessment tool data (staff and community feedback).</td>
<td>N/A yet. This will follow results from Equity Assessment tool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guiding Coalition</td>
<td>Feedback from Guiding Coalition team members.</td>
<td>Leadership support of embedding racial equity work in departmental work plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embed a commitment to advancing racial equity in citywide master and strategic plans</td>
<td># of individual staff members who meet or exceed RE Plan goals.</td>
<td>Focus on equity is embedded in the Pilot Departmental Master Planning &amp; Strategic Planning Guide. City department master and strategic plans participate in an Equity Assessment to establish areas of equity focus and align with work plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual RE Plans</td>
<td># of individual staff members who meet or exceed RE Plan goals.</td>
<td>Individually, local government institutions focus on Racial Equity Plans expected. Individual RE Plans are embedded in annual work plans and evaluations. 20% of staff show improvement towards individual RE plan goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE Network and other professional groups committed to advancing equity</td>
<td>Fostering and reinforcing a culture and practice of learning and collaboration with state, regional, national and international racial equity partners.</td>
<td>Identify city staff liaisons to SAGE. Coordinate dissemination of SAGE information to RE Core Team members and other city staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in network calls, issue groups</td>
<td>Number of city staff who regularly participate in network calls, issue groups.</td>
<td>Expand and deepen participation in SAGE. Evaluate and identify additional partnerships/learning opportunities within SAGE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in regional, national meetings</td>
<td>Number of city staff who regularly participate in meetings and present innovative ways of advancing racial equity to colleagues and leadership.</td>
<td>Racial Equity Core Team members have the opportunity to build capacity and bring back learning to core team members and other city staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations to GARE</td>
<td>Feedback from city staff and other agencies who reach out for more information on city plans, programs and policies.</td>
<td>Racial Equity Core Team Members represent citywide equity work to other GARE members in an effort to advance equity at a systemic level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborate with institutional partners</td>
<td>Students remained in the city of Boulder post graduation for 5 years.</td>
<td>Understand and inventory the racial equity work being done by our institutional partners to be aware of, and continue support and expansion of coordinated efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU and Naropa</td>
<td>Data collected through surveys with students; participation rates of students participating in public meetings.</td>
<td>Improve integration and collaboration with partners in a more formalized and inclusive (i.e., increased clearly defined leadership to advance racial equity efforts and better serve other marginalized communities through shared outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Foundations</td>
<td>Data collected through community surveys.</td>
<td>Baseline establishment of numbers of student of color participating in public meetings and applying for staff positions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OUTPUT DATA

- Short-Term Outcomes (2022)
  - Use of Racial Equity Instrument and increasing Racial Equity achievement.
  - Complete implementation of Racial Equity Core Tools.
  - Increase in # of board / commission / city staff engagements.

- Mid-Term Outcomes (2025)
  - 50% of workgroups within their department by 2025 and identified where departmental equity policies need to be solidified and areas in which there are gaps.
  - Increased budget allocation for Racial Equity.

- Long-Term Outcomes (2030)
  - Increased use of Racial Equity Core Tools.
  - Increased budget allocation for Racial Equity.
  - Increased feedback from SAGE Coalition.

### HIGHER-LEVEL STATEMENT OR PURPOSE FOR THE ACTIVITY/OUTCOMES

- Ensuring that city racial equity goals, policies and practices are manifested at every departmental level of the city institution.

- Increased budget allocation for Racial Equity.

- Increased feedback from SAGE Coalition.

- Increased use of Racial Equity Core Tools.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES (2022)</th>
<th>MID-TERM OUTCOMES (2025)</th>
<th>LONG-TERM OUTCOMES (2030)</th>
<th>OUTPUT DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Foundations</td>
<td>Work with other institutions and advocacy groups to identify, align, and implement strategies for advancing racial equity</td>
<td>Create shared calendar for equity-related events; host community conversations on racial equity</td>
<td>Regularly host equity-related events with partner organizations</td>
<td>Data collected through community surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government partners</td>
<td>Partner with other agencies in sharing training, tools and resources; participate in partner equity initiatives and act as the convener when appropriate</td>
<td>Racial equity initiatives begin to be integrated into regional plans</td>
<td>Regularly host equity-related events with partner organizations</td>
<td>Data collected through community surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-profit community partners</td>
<td>Work with other institutions and advocacy groups to identify, align, and implement strategies for advancing racial equity</td>
<td>Implement equity-focused partnerships, evaluate partnerships together on an annual basis and apply lessons learned to future partner work; host community conversations on racial equity</td>
<td>Regularly host equity-related events with partner organizations</td>
<td>Data collected through community surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritize inclusive community engagement</td>
<td>Complete inventory of barriers for communities of color to publicly participate. Pilot co-design of new inclusive engagement opportunities. Ensure alignment of Engagement Strategic Framework and trainings with RE Plan.</td>
<td>Expand and systematize our co-design of inclusive engagement opportunities. Increase the inclusion of entire engagement processes from beginning to end.</td>
<td>Reflecting full and consistent use of the Engagement Strategic Framework, all city engagement opportunities are open and inclusive to all community members.</td>
<td># of participants, % of participation by historically excluded community members, number of engagement opportunities co-designed with community members of color, response on biennial City of Boulder Community Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public with Council City manager</td>
<td>Pilot inclusive engagement opportunities for council meetings (could be during meetings or a process outside set meetings, such as Conversations on the Civic).</td>
<td>Institutionalize inclusive practices for council meetings.</td>
<td>On-going assessment and strengthening of inclusive practices.</td>
<td># of participants, % of participation by historically excluded community members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Connectors</td>
<td>Community Connectors are engaged through several project-based, long-term, and work group processes. Situational-effective practices are identified and shared with organization.</td>
<td>Community Connectors are institutionalized into working group processes. Integration into project-based and long-term processes is expanded. Situational-effective practices are identified, updated, and shared.</td>
<td># of projects and working group processes, effective integration of feedback into engagement processes</td>
<td>Increasing empowerment and efficacy of people of color and communities of color for engagement in city program and policy-making through increased, sustained level of equitable engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Access</td>
<td>Staff and share with community as an initial Language Access Strategic Plan. Thresholds are identified for translating documents/webpages and interpreting engagement opportunities.</td>
<td>Evaluate ongoing language access needs of community.</td>
<td># of community members engaging in interpreted/translated/native content or engagement opportunities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement Opportunities</td>
<td>Pilot inclusive engagement opportunities for short-term and long-term projects or processes. Develop resources, best practices, and additional trainings for staff.</td>
<td>Motivational/inclusive practices for engagement opportunities.</td>
<td>Continue to identify, refine, and update inclusive engagement practices as needed.</td>
<td># of participants, % of participation by historically excluded community members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infuse equity in city investments</td>
<td>Increase use of Racial Equity Instrument (REI) and Rapid Response Equity Assessment (REA) to assess and improve key investment programs.</td>
<td>Increase use of Racial Equity Instrument and Rapid Response Equity Assessment. Develop citywide process to routinely evaluate and track racial equity impacts from investment programs. Increased equitable funding, contracting.</td>
<td>Racial equity assessments are incorporated into all city investment processes. City staff proactively track and publicly report racial equity impacts resulting from city investments. City investments are commensurate with ongoing needs of people of color community members.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Investments (local grant programs, contracts to non-profits)</td>
<td>Complete Racial Equity Instrument assessments at least three times or funding programs.</td>
<td>Complete REI on all grant/funding programs, per program and as a whole regarding funding directives and impact. Increase use of outcomes/impact evaluation for departmental and citywide racial equity improvements. Increase funding for POC-led, POC-focused agencies.</td>
<td># of assessment completed, # of dollars allocated, attributed to POC-led, POC-served agencies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>Identify procurement processes to which the assessment instruments could be applied</td>
<td>Increase use of REI and RREA. Develop citywide process to routinely evaluate and track racial equity impacts from investment programs. Increased outreach to contracts to POC-led businesses.</td>
<td>Increased diversity of contractors, consultants, by percentage of the total city contractors and consultants and within types of procurement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocate appropriate funding to equity programs, including money allocated for staffing and supporting programs</td>
<td>Financial resources are allocated to ensure appropriate staffing and resources for Citywide Racial Equity Program</td>
<td>Financial resources are allocated to ensure appropriate staffing and resources for departmental initiatives; specific timeframes in department budgets dedicated to equity work</td>
<td>Citywide dollars: routinely assessed and allocated to support new opportunities for initiatives to address racial equity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget processes (departments, city-wide) [add council language]</td>
<td>Evaluate impact of RREA on the 2021 budget process. Complete a REI for the citywide 2022 budget process.</td>
<td>Use REI in combination with data analysis tools and community engagement to improve strategic investment decision making processes. Increase budget allocation for programs, services to POC.</td>
<td>Incremental, annual increase of department program budgets/citywide budget attributed to reducing racial equity gaps.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New investment initiatives</td>
<td>Staff will capture insights and ideas for meeting community needs, related to COVID-19 and other disparities. Best new ideas for addressing income, other</td>
<td>Staff will assess potential revised or new investment policies and projects to advance racial equity.</td>
<td>Staff will implement and routinely assess new opportunities for initiatives to address racial equity</td>
<td># and nature of new investment initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTIVITIES</td>
<td>SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES (2022)</td>
<td>MID-TERM OUTCOMES (2025)</td>
<td>LONG-TERM OUTCOMES (2030)</td>
<td>OUTPUT DATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritize a diverse and inclusive workforce</td>
<td>Establish baseline for the number of qualified people of color, including women of color, hired by the city, and update hiring policies to prevent bias in recruitment and hiring strategies, including ensuring that recruitment is not based on quotas alone.</td>
<td>Utilize Engagement Strategic Framework, all city departments with JEDI teams by end of 2022 for all projects that go to council.</td>
<td>City staff advancement (training/promotions/succession planning)</td>
<td>Develop and implement career development pathways for a diverse applicant pool to ensure a diverse applicant pool is prepared to compete for vacated, expanded and sustained high-functioning profession development programs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using shared definitions of key terms in the city’s racial equity work provides clarity and consistency across the organization, which leads to better analysis of how institutional racism functions and is maintained. We can then be more effective in deconstructing these mechanisms that support institutional racism and begin constructing ones that produce equitable outcomes.

— **Adverse Impacts**: refers to practices or policies that appear neutral but have a discriminatory effect on a protected group. Source: Office of Equity and Human Rights (OEHR)

— **Communities of Color**: is a term used primarily in the United States to describe communities of people who are not identified as white, emphasizing common experiences of racism. Source: OEHR

— **Community outcomes**: The specific result you are seeking to achieve within the community that advances racial equity. Source: Seattle RSJI

— **Discrimination**: refers to practices or policies that may be considered discriminatory and illegal if they have a disproportionate “adverse impact” on persons in a protected class. Source: OEHR

— **Disparate Impacts**: refers to practices or policies that may be considered discriminatory and illegal if they have a disproportionate “adverse impact” on persons in a protected class. Source: OEHR

— **Diversity**: includes all the ways in which people differ, and it encompasses all the different characteristics that make one individual or group different from one another. Source: UC Berkeley CEID

— **Environmental Justice**: According to the US Environmental Protection Agency, environmental justice is the right to a clean, safe and healthy quality of life for people of all races, incomes and cultures. Environmental justice emphasizes accountability, democratic practices, remedying the historical impact of environmental racism, just and equitable treatment, and self-determination.

— **Ethnicity**: a category of people who identify with each other based on common language, ancestral, social, cultural, or national experiences. Source: Oxford English Dictionary

— **Equity**: when one’s identity cannot predict the outcome. Source: OEHR

— **Equity Focus**: is a critical thinking approach to undoing institutional and structural racism, which evaluates burdens, benefits, and outcomes to underserved communities. Source: OEHR

— **Inclusive Engagement**: Processes inclusive of people of diverse races, ethnicities, cultures, gender identities, sexual orientations and socio-economic status. Access to information, resources and civic processes so community members can effectively engage in the design and delivery of public services. Source: Seattle RSJI

— **Institutional Racism**: occurs within institutions and systems of power. It is the unfair policies and discriminatory practices of particular institutions (schools, workplaces, etc.) Source: Race Forward, Moving the Race Conversation Forward
— **Latino/Latina**: a person of Latin American origin or descent, using traditional gendered language.

— **Latinx**: a person of Latin American origin or descent sometimes used as a gender-neutral or nonbinary alternative to Latino or Latina.

— **Marginalized populations**: groups and communities that experience discrimination and exclusion (social, political and economic) because of unequal power relationships across economic, political, social and cultural dimensions. Source: National Centre for Determinants of Health

— **Performance Metrics**: measures an organization’s behavior, activities, and performance. It assesses how well workers are doing their respective tasks and how companies are accomplishing their objectives. It provides hard data and gives off outcomes that appraise clearly defined quantities within a range that facilitates improvement and upgrading. Source: Wikipedia

— **Privilege**: refers to the unearned set of advantages, entitlements, and benefits bestowed by the formal and informal institutions of society to ALL members of a dominant group (e.g. White privilege, male privilege, economic privilege, etc.). Privilege is usually invisible to those who have it. Source: OEHR

— **Race**: A non-scientific, social construct that divides people into distinct groups based on characteristics such as physical appearance, ancestral heritage, cultural affiliation, cultural history, or ethnic classification. Source: Maurianne Adams, Lee Anne Bell and Pat Griffin, editors. Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice: A Sourcebook. New York: Routledge.

— **Racial Equity**: when race does not determine or predict the distribution of resources, economic/political/social opportunities, and burdens for group members in society. Source: OEHR and Seattle RSJI

— **Racial Equity Framework**: An understanding of the root causes of racial disparities, an analysis of the structures that perpetuate these disparities, and the ability to deploy critical strategies to undoing those structures (e.g., community self-determination, shifting power) in order to replace them with structures that produce equitable outcomes.

— **Racial Equity Tools**: A set of strategies, procedures, and resources designed to integrate explicit consideration of racial equity and that can be implemented and applied throughout organizational policy, procedures, and operations to ensure/drive equitable process, impacts, and outcomes. Source: OEHR

— **Stakeholders**: Those impacted by proposed policy, program or budget issue who have potential concerns or issue expertise. Source: Seattle RSJI

— **Structural Racism**: is racial bias among institutions and across society. Source: Race Forward, Moving the Race Conversation Forward

— **Underserved**: refers to people and places that historically and currently have not had equitable resources or access to infrastructure, healthy environments, housing choice, etc. Disparities may be recognized in both services and in outcomes. Source: OEHR
Prosperity Now Scorecard

Although Boulder County ranked markedly better than the state as a whole on many measures of prosperity, that wealth was not always equally distributed. For example, Boulder was measured as having half the average Colorado poverty rate at just 5.8 percent, it had higher income inequality and higher costs of homeownership. Fewer people in Boulder were without health insurance than the statewide or nationwide average; however, proportionally more of the uninsured in this county were people of color.

The organization Prosperity Now published its annual scorecards to determine where Americans are most — and least — able to build wealth, avoid poverty and create a more prosperous future for themselves. In the report, all 50 states and Washington, D.C. receive a rank in three categories: outcome rank, racial disparity rank and scorecard rank. Outcome rank shows how a state is doing for its residents overall, without accounting for racial disparities. Racial disparity rank looks at just that — gaps across 26 outcome measures between white residents and residents of color.

Here’s how Colorado ranked:

— Scorecard rank: 14 (out of 50 states)  
— Outcome rank: 7  
— Racial disparity rank: 42

The rankings were based on an analysis of 78 measures spanning five categories: financial assets and income; businesses and jobs; homeownership and housing; health care; and education. It also assessed each state for 28 policies that promote financial security.

Colorado ranks 14th on the prosperity of its residents compared to the 50 states and the District of Columbia. This rank is based on states’ performance on economic measures for all residents but also accounts for racial disparities in outcomes. Relative to other states, Colorado’s performance ranks in the top 10 states for residents overall. However, the extreme gap between White residents and residents of color drops the state’s rank and has negative implications for the prosperity of Colorado. For example, the homeownership rate of White households is 70% compared to 50% for Latino households and 40% for Black households.

The Scorecard ranks states and DC from best to worst: #1 is the most desirable, #51 is the least desirable. The Scorecard rank is a factor of two underlying ranks: an Outcome rank for all residents and a Racial Disparity rank on the gap in outcomes between White residents and residents of color. The Outcome rank accounts for 60% of the Scorecard rank and the Racial Disparity rank for 40%. This average is then re-ranked to obtain the final Scorecard rank, making it possible for states to have lower Outcome and Racial Disparity ranks than their final Scorecard rank.

The Outcome Rank is calculated by averaging the individual ranks on 52 outcome measures for all residents compared to residents of other states and DC. The Racial Disparity rank is calculated by averaging the gaps in outcomes for White residents and residents of color on 26 measures. A Racial Disparity Rank of 51 means that the disparity is the largest compared to all states and DC, and a lower rank indicates that the disparity is narrower than in other parts of the country.
City of Boulder Population and Demographics

The following population data provides a snapshot of our City of Boulder demographics.

This data set is portrayed in several different formats for a more complete illustration of our community's population. Please note that much of this information relies on data from the decennial Census and the most recent data available is from 2010. This section will be updated in future editions of the city's Racial Equity Plan, once data from the 2020 Census is publicly available from the Census Bureau.

This image represents a Racial Dot Map, created by the University of Virginia's Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service and utilizing Census data from 2010. Each dot represents one person living in a given census tract, not a specific address. Individual dots are randomly located and align with the aggregate population within a given census tract.

While concerns exist about the researchers lumping together "Other Races / Native American / Multi-racial" categories into one dot color, the Racial Dot Map may still provide a helpful big-picture perspective of our city's demographics.

2010 Census Block Data

1 Dot = 1 Person

- White
- Black
- Asian
- Hispanic
- Other Race / Native American / Multi-racial

*estimated population as of July 1, 2019, per U.S. Census Bureau
The following eight maps showing census tract data from the American Community Survey 5-year Estimates (2012-2017). The data are broken out into percentages of different racial groups. There are still questions to answer about the best way to use this, but the hope is that in combination with other data, they can help us determine where public services are needed to create a more equitable city.

**Observations about the maps:**
- Pay attention to percentages because colors of maps can be deceiving
- Confirms a predominantly white population
- Classification method is quantiles (equal number of observations in each class), but maybe another method is more valuable

Data source: Living Atlas provided by ESRI, sourcing the 2012-2017 ACS 5-Year Census Tract Data
Additional Resources: Racial History of the City of Boulder


— Summary: hidden behind the guise of open space preservation and conservation lurks a philosophy of ensuring the “right people” enjoy Boulder’s landscape in perpetuity.

— Southern Arapahoe Chief Niwot, History and Background

— Toward Right Relationship with Native Peoples, Toolkit

— Conflict, Race, Violence Video (Boulder County Latino History)

— Latinos of Boulder County, Colorado; 1900-1980; Volume I: History and Contributions; Chapter: Conflict, Racism, and Violence, 1910-1940

— Ellen Tate Interview (2001) from the Carnegie Library, Boulder, CO

— ABSTRACT: Ellen Tate describes growing up in Philadelphia, moving frequently with her husband, Penfield Tate II, while he was in the military; and moving to Boulder in 1967. She speaks about experiences as an African American, experiencing racism while traveling in the 1960s, creating a sense of black community in Boulder, and race relations in general in Boulder.

— De Laris Carpenter Interview (2001) from the Carnegie Library, Boulder, CO

— ABSTRACT: De Laris Carpenter talks about her upbringing in Mississippi; her life in Boulder; her career as a teacher, counselor, and administrator; and the role of family in her life, addressing both her own childhood and her role as a parent. Throughout the interview, she discusses the African American community and interactions of the white and African American communities, including discussion of racism in Boulder, at the University of Colorado, Boulder, and in the Boulder Valley Schools.

— “Boulder Releases Data on Law Enforcement Discrimination”, KUNC Report, May 1, 2019

— “Boulder prides itself on being welcoming to all. But its citizens of color tell a different story”, Daily Camera Article, July 28, 2018
MINUTES OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

OF THE

BOULDER PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT

Held: Tuesday, May 16, 2023, at 6:00 p.m. at Boulder Public Library, 1001 Arapahoe Avenue, Boulder, Colorado.

Attendance

The organizational meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Boulder Public Library District was called and held in accordance with the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning Open Public Meetings. The following Trustees, having been appointed by the Boulder County Commissioners and the City Council of the City of Boulder to serve on the Board, were in attendance:

Katharine (Joni) Teter
Benita Duran
Jennifer Yee
Cara O’Brien
Sylvia Wirba
Doug Hamilton
Sam Fuqua

Also present were Kim J. Seter, Esq., Seter & Vander Wall, P.C.; David Farnan, Director of the Boulder Public Library; David Gehr and Janet Michaels, Boulder City Attorney’s Office; Jennifer Phares, Boulder Public Library; and, Chris Meschuk, Deputy City Manager for the City of Boulder. Kelly Hansen, Legal Assistant at Seter & Vander Wall, PC was present via Zoom. Lisa from the City Manager’s Office assisted with the operation of the remote attendance.

Call to Order

Mr. Seter called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. noting that a sufficient number of appointed trustees were present to create a quorum authorized to act on behalf of the District.

Introduction

Mr. Seter discussed the purposes of the first meeting of the Board of Trustees as further described in the Memorandum contained in the Trustees’ meeting packet.

Oaths of Office

Mr. Seter reported that each of the Trustees had completed their Oath of Office which has been filed with the County Clerk and the Colorado Division of Local Governments. Accordingly,
Seating of Trustees and Introductions

Each of the attendees of the meeting introduced themselves and their positions described above.

Declaration of Quorum

Mr. Seter noted that the Trustees would be managing the assets and operations of an independent government entity. He took the opportunity to discuss the Open Meeting Laws that will govern the Board’s operations noting that these discussions will occur frequently. He then noted that a quorum is Trustees is present and the Board is authorized to take official actions.

Election of Officers

Mr. Seter discussed the duties of Board Officers and the functioning of the Board and discussed how to determine the officers. A discussion was had concerning the merits of separating Secretary and Treasurer positions and the convenience of not doing so.

Trustee Hamilton moved to appoint Trustee Teter as President of the Board of Trustees. Trustee Duran nominated herself to serve. After discussion of various Trustees’ willingness to serve, Trustee Fuqua noted that there appeared to be some consensus on a slate. Trustee Hamilton enquired of Trustee Duran if she would be willing to serve as vice-president.

Trustee Hamilton amended his Motion to elect a slate of candidates and upon second from Trustee Fuqua, the following officers were unanimously elected:

Trustee Teter, President

Trustee Duran, Vice-President

Trustee Wirba, Secretary/Treasurer.

Presentation by David Farnan, Boulder Library Executive Director

Mr. Farnan was introduced and he congratulated the Trustees on their new positions. Mr. Farnan noted that there is a chart that lays out what needs to be done in the months ahead. There are many intersecting parts and no date has been set for completion yet. The Board will need to employ or contract some staff to ensure this work can be completed. The library staff, city staff and contractors will have to be involved to meet the milestones. The City is committed to a smooth and efficient transaction with no interruption in service. Mr. Farnan has prepared
documents identifying the intersections and transition points. The City’s focus it to demonstrate to the citizens that their money is being well spent.

The Trustee’s enquired about the charts and process that Mr. Farnan has identified and was assured the information will be shared with the Board.

Mr. Farnan also noted that the intergovernmental agreement with the City required by law will be critical to determining the District’s future budget. Trustee Teter noted that support from City and library staff will be necessary to completing the process and questioned how the entities can work together. Mr. Meschuk noted the importance of obtaining legal counsel and some administrative support. The City wants to get the District board up and operating and suggested that an interim IGA would be useful to allow the Trustees to work through their process. We will have to be careful about conflict of interest in going through the process since the City and District are theoretically opposing one another in negotiations. We must be thoughtful and careful about the concern. Once the IGA is completed, we will have a clear roadmap to complete the transition.

Attorney Gehr describes some of the provisions of an interim IGA and final IGA that can smooth out the transition regarding employees and their duties. The final IGA can provide the details for the transition and the interim IGA can provide an agreement on operations pending the final IGA.

Mr. Meschuk notes that the City has gathered information in a binder and will provide additional information as requested by the Trustees. The City can also bring subject matter experts to the Trustees’ meetings to answer questions and provide information.

Mr. Farnan confirmed that it will be necessary to work through and with the City and that the City is desirous of working with the Trustees to ensure the success of the District and the future of library services in the District boundaries.

Organizational Administrative Matters

Mr. Seter noted that there are numerous legal matters that must be addressed for the Trustees to satisfy Colorado law regarding open meeting, open records, library law and budget laws. He
addressed the affect of various laws and requested consideration of various matters.

a. Upon motion made, seconded, and unanimously carried, the Trustees approved the Boulder Daily Camera for publication of legal notices.

b. Upon motion made, seconded and unanimously carried, the Trustees approved the front entrance to the Main Library Branch as the designated 24-hour posting place and the webpage that will be added to the municipal library website.

c. After discussion it was determined that the Boulder Public Library will assist in providing a section on its website for library district information and postings.

d. Upon motion made, seconded, and unanimously carried the Board designated the third Tuesday of each month at 6:00 p.m. at the Main Branch Library as its regular meeting date, time and location. The Board determined to hold special meetings every other Tuesday of each month until further notice.

The Board discussed a two-track approach to become educated on how to be a trustee and another concerning preparation for the IGA negotiations and coming budgeting process. Mr. Farnan noted that the Trustees should consider subcommittees because there will be great deal of work to do and there is not need for anyone to work on it all.

Mr. Seter discussed the limitations on liability of the District, the Board and the Trustees provided by common law and especially the Colorado Governmental Immunity Act and its authorization to the Board to indemnify employees and Trustees against the possibility of incurring expenses as the result of any lawsuits or other actions.

Upon motion made by Trustee Teter, seconded by Trustee Yee, the Board unanimously approved Resolution 2023-5-1 for the Defense and Indemnification of Trustees and Employees of the District as presented.

Mr. Seter presented an engagement letter from Seter & Vander Wall, PC and discussed other efforts available to obtain legal representation.
Upon motion made, seconded, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the engagement of Seter & Vander Wall, PC to serve a legal counsel to the Board of Trustees.

Trustee Duran stressed the desire for legal counsel to prepare and provide an equity, diversity, and inclusion statement of the firm as soon as one can be developed.

The Board discussed the need to engage other consultants to work through the issues that must be addressed in the IGA and to assist in negotiations.

2023 Budget Approval

Mr. Seter described the Colorado Budget Law for local governments and the budget calendar that must be followed to coordinate with the county assessor, treasurer and to meet the deadline for levying taxes January 1 of each year. He also described the legal requirement that funds be budgeted and “appropriated” prior to any expenditure. Since the District Board is finally constituted and funds are available, a budget and appropriation must be approved after a public hearing. Mr. Seter presented the draft budget prepared to satisfy the Colorado Budget Law.

President Teter opened the public hearing on the 2023 budget at 7:40 p.m.

Mr. Farnan discussed the budget that was presented and the importance of the process going forward into 2024.

There being no further public comment on the budget, the public hearing was closed at 7:46 p.m.

Upon motion made by Trustee Duran and seconded by Trustee O’Brian, and unanimously carried, Resolution No. 2023-5-2 Summarizing Expenditures, and Revenues, Adopting a Budget and Appropriating Funds was unanimously approved.

Legal Counsel Status Report

Mr. Seter presented and discussed the items in the Legal Status Report Memorandum. This report is directed to the agenda items for this organizational meeting. That will not typically be the case in the future. The report provides running tally of legal work underway and avoids the need for lengthy oral reports at Board meetings.
Presentation of Draft IGA

Mr. Seter presented a copy of the existing draft IGA prepared as part of the City’s process to approve formation of the Library District. A discussion was had concerning the breadth of the IGA and the types of issues that must be addressed to provide an efficient and effective transition of the library system to the Library District.

Trustee Fuqua enquired if the plan is to move forward with an interim IGA and then utilize the interim period to complete a final IGA and was advised by President Teter that it is the plan. Trustee Yee asked if there will be a document stating what the City will do and was advised that the interim IGA will contain those terms. Mr. Gehr noted that it will be reduced to a writing and the City anticipates being reimbursed for various categories of expense.

Mr. Meschuk responded to questions concerning the current position of City Council and was advised that it has been a long time since the matter was presented to Council so there may be significant changes and the City will have to address as an entire council.

Trustee Hamilton enquired about opening bank accounts for the District in order to take advantage of the funds that are being held by the Treasurer. Mr. Seter notes the limited investment options available through COLOTRUST to satisfy the limitation of the Public Deposit Protection Act which we will discuss in the future.

Trustee Duran enquires about conflicts of interest and conflict statements. Mr. Seter notes there are seldom conflicts in library districts unless there is some direct contracting or dealings with a Trustee. He also noted that in the future, a conflict letter will be provided for Trustees to consider and provide forms for curing conflicts by disclosure to the Secretary of State prior to actions being taken.

A discussion was had concerning providing a portal to provide access to Board and District documents in the future.

Trustee Matters

There were no trustee matters brought before the Board.
Adjournment

There being no further matters presented to the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 8:14 p.m.

Secretary for the Meeting
MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

OF THE

BOULDER PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT

Held: Tuesday, May 23, 2023, at 6:00 p.m. at Boulder Public Library, 1001 Arapahoe Avenue, Boulder, Colorado.

Attendance

The special meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Boulder Public Library District was called and held as shown above in accordance with the statutes of the State of Colorado. The following Trustees, having confirmed their qualifications to serve on the Board, were in attendance:

- Katharine (Joni) Teter
- Benita Duran
- Jennifer Yee (via Zoom)
- Cara O’Brien
- Sylvia Wirba (via Zoom)
- Doug Hamilton
- Sam Fuqua

Also present were Kim J. Seter, Esq., Seter & Vander Wall, P.C.; David Farnan, Director of the Boulder Public Library; and Jennifer Phares, Boulder Public Library. Kelly Hansen, Legal Assistant at Seter & Vander Wall, PC was also present. Jennifer Phares and Kelly Hansen assisted with the operation of the remote attendance.

Call to Order

Trustee Teter called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. noting that a sufficient number of appointed trustees were present to create a quorum authorized to act on behalf of the District.

Executive Session

At approximately 6:04 pm Trustee Teter moved that pursuant to section 24-6-402(4)(b) C.R.S. and upon an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the board members present for the motion, the Board enter into executive session for the purpose of receiving legal advice on specific questions regarding contracting with an executive director and Section (e) (I) to determine positions relative to matters that may be subject to negotiations, developing strategy for negotiations and instructing negotiators with regard to an executive director contract. Upon second and unanimous vote, the Board passed the motion to enter executive session for the above-stated
purposes. The Board reconvened from executive session at approximately 6:31 pm. The Board did not take formal action nor did it adopt any policy, rule, or regulation while in executive session.

**Engagement of Library Director**

Trustee Duran moved to adopt the Skills, Qualities and Competencies of a Great Library Director. Upon second by Trustee Hamilton and unanimous vote, the Board adopted the Skills, Qualities and Competencies of a Great Library Director. Trustee Duran moved to declare David Farnan a finalist for the Boulder Public Library District Library Director position. Upon second by Trustee Teter and unanimous vote, the Board named David Farnan finalist for Library Director position.

**Review & Adoption of Proposed Timeline**

David Farnan presented the proposed a timeline for the District’s transition. Mr. Farnan noted that the timeline is intended to be a flexible, working document with two hard deadlines in mind – the approval of the budget (slated for October) and the date of transition (or the date all employees get transferred over to the District’s responsibility). Mr. Farnan noted that the Board will have to approve an employment package to make informed decisions about the budget. The next hard deadline is in two parts. One is the date of transition, or the date that all current Boulder Public Library employees get transferred over to the District’s responsibility. The second date is the date the District is ready to begin hiring standard library staff. Mr. Farnan stated that standard staff cannot be hired by the District without HR, finance and payroll infrastructure in place. Mr. Farnan stated that he believes both deadlines can be met by January, 2024. Mr. Farnan acknowledged that the two intertwining deadlines may end up occurring separately.

Trustee Teter suggested a third hard deadline establishing a “no later than” date to approve the IGA, which would ideally occur in October. Trustee Fuqua inquired about the possibility of increasing branch hours as a show to the community that the District is making strides on their promises to voters. Mr. Farnan noted that it was possible and that BPL has priced out the cost of restoring hours and services to 2019 levels in their “Restoration of Funding” plan, but that doing so may not be the best use of the Trustees’ time as it would require additional approval from the City. Mr Farnan stated his belief that everything on the timeline can be completed within 3-5 years.

Trustee Hamilton inquired about the July start date listed for
IGA negotiations to which Trustee Teter clarified that July was chosen to give the Trustees time to conduct research related to the IGA, to strategically plan and budget, and to build District infrastructure.

Trustee Yee asked about the possibility of receiving a “live” version of the timeline document that reflects changes as they occur. Mr. Farnan stated his intent to upload the document to a software system that will make updates accessible to Trustees in real-time.

Mr Farnan also stated that at least half of the Library’s current employees belong to a union (BMEA – Boulder Municipal Employee Association) and have been told by the City that they will no longer be eligible to participate in the union once they become District employees.

Mr. Farnan emphasized the importance of soliciting staff feedback on employment matters and keeping staff informed. Trustee Yee asked about how staff members are feeling about transferring to the District to which Mr. Farnan replied that they are anxious but optimistic.

Mr. Farnan outlined the proposed subcommittee approach. Mr. Farnan identified four main subcommittees: IGA, Staffing, Budget & Finance, and Bylaws & Governance. Mr. Farnan emphasized the importance of the Bylaws & Governance committee adopting an equity policy and the Library’s Master Plan. Trustee Duran shared her subcommittee proposal with the Board. Trustee Duran proposed the establishment of ad-hoc subcommittees comprised of at least two Board members and 2-3 volunteers from the community. Trustee Duran’s proposal included 7 subcommittees

Trustee Fuqua expressed his belief that the Trustees should decide which subcommittees they will join prior to recruiting members of the community. Trustee Fuqua also expressed doubt that every subcommittee be ad-hoc and shared his desire to recruit a community member with finance expertise to join the Budget & Finance subcommittee. Trustee Wirba mentioned that all Board members may wish to be on the IGA subcommittee for the time being. Trustee Fuqua stated that he does not and that he trusts the judgement of the Trustees on the IGA subcommittee. Trustee Yee and Trustee Hamilton agreed with Trustee Fuqua. Trustee Teter stated that the two Trustees
on the IGA subcommittee should serve as “the faces” for the Board when negotiating the IGA with the City.

Trustee Teter provided example bylaws language and noted how some library bylaws are fairly simple while others are very detailed and each addresses the establishment of subcommittees. Trustee Duran stated that her subcommittee proposal was intended to get members of the community involved in the process.

Trustee Hamilton expressed his interest in serving on the Facilities, Bylaws & Governance, and potentially the Communications subcommittee. Trustee O’Brien stated she would be interested in the IGA, Staffing, and Bylaws & Governance, and Communications subcommittees. Trustee Fuqua stated he was interested in the Staffing, Budget & Finance, and Communications subcommittees. Trustee Duran stated she was interested in the IGA and Communications subcommittees. Trustee Wirba stated her interest in the Bylaws & Governance, Staffing, and Finance subcommittees. Trustee Yee stated she was interested in Staffing and was open to serving on any of the other subcommittees. Trustee Teter stated she was most interested in Facilities.

Trustee Teter suggested the Trustees think about and decide which subcommittees they will join at the May 30 meeting. Mr. Farnan stated that the Board’s actions will begin to accelerate in August, so Trustees should have some time on subcommittees beforehand.

Mr. Farnan discussed consultants and stated that some will come on right away to help with the IGA (staffing and facilities consultants for example) while other consultants will likely not come on until after the IGA. Other consultants, such as IT, will help with the migration of data from the City to the District.

Trustee Matters

Trustee Teter discussed the style for Trustee bios that will be posted to the Library website. The Board decided to write bios discussing their background and love for libraries and decided on having them done by the May 30, 2023 meeting. Trustee Teter motioned to approve special meetings from 5-8pm on May 30, June 6, and June 13. Upon second by Trustee Hamilton and unanimous vote, the Board approved the listed meeting dates.

Overview of IGA Matters
Executive Session

At approximately 7:45pm Trustee Teter moved that pursuant to section 24-6-402(4)(b) C.R.S. and upon an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the board members present for the motion, the Board enter into executive session for the purpose of receiving legal advice on specific questions; and, subsection (e)(I) to determine positions relative to matters that may be subject to negotiations, developing strategy for negotiations and instructing negotiators with regard to the proposed intergovernmental agreement with the City of Boulder and Boulder County.

Upon second and unanimous vote, the Board passed the motion to enter executive session for the above-stated purposes.

The Board reconvened from executive session at approximately 8:13pm. The Board did not take formal action nor did it adopt any policy, rule, or regulation while in executive session.

Adjournment

There being no further business to come before the Board, and upon motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:14 p.m.

Secretary for the Meeting
MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

OF THE

BOULDER PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT

Held: Tuesday, May 30, 2023, at 5:00 p.m. at Boulder Public Library, 1001 Arapahoe Avenue, Boulder, Colorado.

Attendance

The special meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Boulder Public Library District was called and held as shown above in accordance with the statutes of the State of Colorado. The following Trustees, having confirmed their qualifications to serve on the Board, were in attendance:

Katharine (Joni) Teter
Benita Duran
Jennifer Yee
Cara O’Brien
Sylvia Wirba
Doug Hamilton

Also present were Kim J. Seter, Esq., Seter & Vander Wall, P.C.; David Farnan, Director of the Boulder Public Library; and Jennifer Phares, Boulder Public Library. Kelly Hansen, Legal Assistant at Seter & Vander Wall, PC was also present. Jennifer Phares and Kelly Hansen assisted with the operation of the remote attendance. Trustee Sam Fuqua was not in attendance.

Call to Order

Trustee Teter called the meeting to order at approximately 5:14 p.m. noting that a sufficient number of appointed trustees were present to create a quorum authorized to act on behalf of the District.

Update on Legal Matters

Mr. Seter delivered an update on legal matters. Mr. Seter provided the Trustees a memorandum regarding the District’s Federal Employer Identification Number, the Public Deposit Protection Act and the District Bank Account.

Overview of Library Budget 2024-2027 and Interplay with BPL Master Plan 2018-2028

Mr. Farnan provided an overview of the Boulder Public Library budget. He has been evaluating the budget for the past six years and has revised it periodically to keep it up to date. Mr. Farnan’s overview is comprised of three documents: the City of Boulder’s Library Budget for 2023, items from the Library’s Master Plan, and a draft of estimated costs. Mr. Farnan stated
the budget is very typical, including the capital expense of $1.2 million and the internal service allocations. BPL’s budget is approximately $13,000,000. On top of that, there is an internal cost allocation (facilities maintenance, IT, HR, payroll, legal, etc).

Mr. Farnan is planning for a 10% increase in 2024 under the Library District, with an expectation of approximately $19,000,000 in revenue for 2024. The facilities backlog is not included in the BPL budget. Mr. Farnan then discussed items in the Master Plan the District hopes to achieve in the future that are currently unfunded.

Mr. Farnan then presented a list of costs associated with restoring hours, staffing, services, etc. The total, from an operational perspective, is approximately $3,000,000. The capital costs associated with the items on the list is $10-12,000,000, so completing everything on the list is not possible at this time. Mr. Farnan emphasized the importance of scrutinizing each item to effectively address capital investments and facility backlog needs and phasing desired outcomes.

Trustee Hamilton asked for clarification regarding what constitutes capital expenses. Mr. Farnan stated that the City considers capital expenses to be what we allow regarding excise taxes – mostly building expenses etc. Trustee Yee stated that capital expenses are mostly physical. Ms. Phares stated that the City’s definition of capital spending is any single item of furniture or equipment costing $5,000 or more for the useful life of greater than one year. Items that go into capital improvement program are valued at $100,000 or more.

Mr. Farnan then provided the Trustees with a draft of the near-term budget. For 2024, the budget shows an approximate revenue of $19,000,000 and approximately $17,000,000 in expenses. Mr. Farnan populated some of the reserve balances. Assuming a 5% increase in residential property tax, Mr. Farnan approximates a budget of $20,000,000 by 2026. Mr. Farnan made note of the list of unknown expenses, the biggest one being the benefits package for employees. The District will likely owe the City back for the City of Boulder general fund costs, but will not owe for the library fund. The Library District direct costs the District will assume will be used for contractors, consultants, etc. and will be paid directly from the Library District’s 2023 revenue.
Mr. Farnan noted that the Library District will pay the County about 1% of property taxes every year for the collection. Trustee Teter asked if Mr. Farnan could provide an organizational chart for the Library. Mr. Farnan agreed to provide one and mentioned that the Board will have the opportunity to meet staff when they present the Master Plan in July.

Trustee Teter expressed interest in conducting monthly chats with staff.

Trustee Hamilton inquired about the 2023 reserves and Mr. Farnan clarified that the dollar amount shown is money that will be contributed to the reserves by the Library. Also, there is an assumption that the Library will owe the City $8-10,000,000 for 2023 operations. Trustee Duran asked if the money the District owes the City back is the same as reimbursement. Mr. Farnan stated that it is not the same number. The budget passed by the City is $12,773,431. In order to get that number and show that the Library is not operating in a deficit, the Library had to borrow 350,000 to make whole the 1.5 million ordinarily collected as 0.333 property tax. As such, there is no 2023 0.333 property tax collection notes.

Trustee Hamilton stated that 2024 is estimated to be $17,000,000, potentially $19,000,000, with an estimated $1.25 million in reserves. Mr. Farnan clarified that those numbers are assumptions but that there is the ability to save capital expenses. Ms. Phares stated that the capital costs are evident in the one-time expenses from the reserves which serves as a placeholder for things such as critical facility backlog, IT startup costs, new office space for new employees, and a facility study and that the amount is a wild guess. Mr. Farnan stated that the large amount of money going to facilities is due to the age of the facilities and the City’s inability to address facility issues in any significant fashion. From year to year, close to 25% of the budget goes into building maintenance.

Mr. Farnan reiterated to the Trustees that they will not be able to do everything and that prioritization will be key. Trustee Yee asked if there is anything the City is going to provide in the way of budget and finance that would conflict with anything in the budget Mr. Farnan prepared. Mr. Farnan stated that many of the numbers included in the budget he prepared are taken directly from the City’s budget for BPL but that there are many items,
such as the facilities backlog, that the City has not confirmed.

Mr. Farnan reiterated the numerous issues with Library facilities and expressed the importance of being knowledgeable of the issues, the buildings and the land as the Trustees negotiate the IGA.

Mr. Farnan stated that the consultants would be hired to facilitate IGA negotiations and would not necessarily be ongoing costs.

Trustee Teter stated that the Trustees have agreed upon six subcommittees—Budget & Finance, Staffing, Facilities, Bylaws & Governance, IGA, and Communications—and have decided against recruiting members of the public for the time being. There should not be more than two Trustees on each subcommittee as three Trustees would constitute a public meeting and would be subject to open meetings laws. Even with a Communications subcommittee, Mr. Farnan should still be the main point of contact through which the Board communicates with staff, consultants, City officials, etc.

Mr. Farnan noted individuals who were/are on the Library Commission, LDAC, and others who applied to be Trustees who would be more than happy to help with financials and IT if the Trustees would like.

Trustee Hamilton expressed interest in learning more about IT and what exactly the $700-800,000 the City has budgeted for IT goes towards. Mr. Farnan stated that that money is primarily used for network services and equipment (computers, software, hardware, security, etc.). Mr. Farnan highlighted the Library’s three dedicated IT staff members and the costs associated with being a member of Marmot, which manages the Library’s ILS and patron data. Mr. Farnan stated he is happy to bring in representatives from Marmot and other IT-involved individuals to speak with the Trustees if they wish. Trustee Yee asked if
there was a need for an IT subcommittee. Trustee O’Brien qualified that IT falls under each subcommittee and Mr. Farnan stated that it would be beneficial to hold a public meeting focusing on IT matters so that members of the public may become informed as well. Trustee Duran stated that it will be interesting for the Trustees to explore any technological issues related to the expansion of the library service area. Trustee Teter suggested the Trustees meet with their respective subcommittees before the next meeting to develop plans for action.

The Trustees determined the composition of each subcommittee as follows:

**Staffing:**
Trustee Fuqua  
Trustee Yee

**Budget & Finance:**
Trustee Wirba  
Trustee Yee

**Facilities:**
Trustee Hamilton  
Trustee Teter

**Bylaws & Governance:**
Trustee Wirba  
Trustee Teter

**Communications:**
Trustee Duran  
Trustee O’Brien

**IGA:**
Trustee Duran  
Trustee O’Brien

Ms. Phares presented the District website to the Trustees and walked them through how to navigate the site. She discussed updates that will be coming to the website, such as a public comment section and the addition of Trustee bios. The Trustees expressed interest in keeping meeting materials, recordings, and minutes as accessible to the public as possible throughout the transition.
## Record of Proceedings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trustee Matters</th>
<th>Trustee Teter stated that the meeting next week will focus on the Interim IGA and insurance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjournment</td>
<td>There being no further business to come before the Board, and upon motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 7:09 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secretary for the Meeting